

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
1.	ONGC/OVL/O MEL	✓ (Approached by Suresh Chugh in 2006)	✗ (CEG had shared data directly in 2006)	US\$400m (further US\$30m on recovery of investment) G17/4321)	✓ G20/5005	✗	US\$300m (further US\$50m on recovery of upfront investment) (G25/6418-22)	Valuation Leak Offer contained condition of SOCAR consent (G25/6538)
2.	Petrovietnam	✓ (G14/3664)	✓ (G15/3732)	US\$1.2bn for 100% Shirvan (G16/4308)	✓ (G20/5177)	✗ (G24/6147)	✗	Unable to secure regulatory permits in required timeframe (G25/6510)
3.	PCG Turicum	✓ (G16/4163)	✓ (G16/4187)	US\$600m (G16/4304)	✓ (G21/5252)	✓ (G21/5274)	✗	Would only bid is assured of succeeding Loathe to enter a costly bidding process with an uncertain outcome (G25/6440-6443)
4.	PKN Orlen	✓ (Previously approached by CEG - (G12/3057) and G13/3173)	✓ (G16/4188)	US\$450m (G17/4356)	✓ (G20/5004)	✓ (G21/5286)	✗	Their reservoir engineers (Gaffney Cline) said that the value on their reserve numbers was below \$100m Ejected from process for their views on value and alleged leak. (G23/5979)

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
5.	Turkiye Petroleum Alphex One	✓ (G16/4225)	✓ (G16/4271)	✗ (G20/5003)	✗	✗	✗	Understand that support of SOCAR and Azerbaijan not yet obtained and without this will not participate (G20/5003)
6.	Perenco	✓ (G13/3373)	✓ (G15-3727)	✗ (G17/4335)	✗	✗	✗	"Smallish, difficult ownership structure, they are working on another project in the former soviet union" (G17/4335)
7.	Petrofac	✓ (G26/6902 and G28/7303-1)	✓ (G28/7230-1-7430-4)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"The size of the asset and its risk profile are inconsistent with our strategic objectives" (HSF010215 – Not yet in TB)
8.	Burren Energy	✓ (G13/3372 / G14/3633-1)	✓ (Core 7880-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"Essentially we see the assets as relatively mature and having less upside than we would wish" (G30/4262-1-4262-2)
9.	Caspian Meridian	✓ (HB Global G24/6122-1)	? (access approved by Akhundov G24/6145-1-6145-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
10.	CNOOC	✓ (G14/3706-1)	✓ (G15/3721-003-3721-006)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"due to the time constraint caused by a very long holiday and very limited information our team feels uncomfortable to submit an offer by the due date, even [if] it is an indicative one" (G16/4225-1) "there was insufficient technical information to justify the seller's projections in the IM. Based on that alone, they were not comfortable submitting an indicative bid" (G16/4263-5)
11.	Chinese Petroleum Corporation	✓ (G13/3373-1)	✓ (G16/4015-3)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"CPC are not answering calls or returning emails ... Clearly not at all switched on, and I would presume out, unless they send something by 2 March" (G16/4263-5)
12.	Deutsche Bank (Intermediary)	✓ (G25/6621)	✓ (G25/6622-6625)	✗	✗	✗	✗	Access terminated by Akhundov "because of some reasons" (G26/6794-6795)

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
13.	Genuity Capital (Intermediary)	✓ (G22/5779-1/5779-2)	✓ (G21/5279)	✗	✗	✗	✗	Intermediary, so not directly interested. (G22/5779-1/5779-2)
14.	Notre Dame Capital (Intermediary)	✓ (G21/5273-1)	✓ (G21/5273-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	No feedback as approached through HB Global
15.	PEXCO	✓ (G27/7178-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	No response to Confidentiality Agreement – reverse enquiry by company known by Akhundov
16.	Statoil	✓ (G20/5109)	✓ (G20/5156-1/5156-14)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"we have decided to pass on this one, after careful consideration" (G22/5778-3)
17.	The National Investor (Intermediary)	✓ (G26/6918)	✓ (G27/6961)	✗	✗	✗	✗	Intermediary – were taking this to several parties (G27/6974)
18.	Trimar Group (Intermediary)	✓ (G21/5295)	✓ (G21/5295)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
19.	Uniacke & Associate (Intermediary)	✓ (G21/5273)	✓ (G21/5273-6)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
20.	Aabar	✓	✓ (G15/3993-1/3993-4)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"feedback is a no due to size, and same for Aabar" (G17/4339-1/4339-2)
21.	Arcadia Petroleum	✓	✓ (G25/6592)	✗	✗	✗	✗	No response – approached through Joel Steinhart (G25/6592-5)
22.	Babcock	✓ (G27/7177-1/7717-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	Could not agree Confidentiality Agreement (G28/7234-1/7234-4)
23.	CEPSA	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
24.	CITIC	✓ (HB Global – G13/3348-1)	✓ (G22/5651-2-5651-5)	✗	✗	✗	✗	No response after reviewing first round data room
25.	CNPC	✓ (HB Global – G13/3348-1-3348-3)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	Contacted by HB Global, CSSEL followed up (G28/7234-1-7234-4)
26.	Hellenic Petroleum	✓ (G13/3371-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	No response to Ukrasin's email
27.	IOC	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
28.	KPC	✓ (G13/3326-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"not interested at this stage. It will be another 3-4 months before they will start investing" (G13/3326-1)

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
29.	KUFPEC	✓ (G13/3321-1)	✓ Core (13205-1-13205-4)	✗	✗	✗	✗	Said "no" with no reason given (G17/4334-1)
30.	Lundin Petroleum	✓ (HB Global – G26/6692-1-6692-2)	✓ (G26/6692-3-6693-6)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"we are not interested. Primary reasons are that this will require a capital intensive rehabilitation and development plan for what we view as a limited upside potential in a high risk environment." (G27/7082)
31.	Mubdala	✓ (G13/3384-1-3384-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"too small even at 35,000bbl per day to make an impact as a company they are not ready to operate. However they like the country and will explore other opportunities" (G13/3384-1-3384-2)

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
32.	OMV	✓ (G13/3371-2-3371-3)	✓ (G15/3719-1-3719-4)	✗	✗	✗	✗	"In our opinion, a re-development would require big efforts for which OMV would not be able to provide the technical input and reservoir management as required. We already have a number of similar old fields in our portfolio that at the moment suffer from lack of our attention and reservoir management." (G16/4064-6)
33.	Petronas	✓ (G15/3770-1-3770-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"not too keen on "expensive acquisitions" (G29/7735-1-7735-2)
34.	PTT EP	✓ (G13/3348-4-3348-7)	✗ (G14/3481-1-3481-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
35.	Qatar	? (HB Global)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
36.	Reliance	✓	✗ (Allowed access to data room without Confidentiality Agreement – G27/7140-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
37.	Sinochem	✓ (G14/3633-6)	✓ (G15/3901-1-3901-4)	✗	✗	✗	✗	<p><i>"We reviewed your information carefully during the past month. Unfortunately, the result turned to be disappointing. Based upon the production history of the field and typical wells, we cannot justify the production profile, which peak at 49000b/d. At the same time, the CAPEX and OPEX expenditure you provided, in terms of per barrel, for the future years seems to be higher than we expected. The decrease in oil production and abnormally high costs resulted in low value, which seems abnormal according to our common knowledge. Under such circumstances, we think it better for us to quit at this stage."</i> (G19/4819-4825)</p> <p><i>"Upon our review, however, we don't think our numbers match the sell's expectations"</i> (G19/4870-1-4870-7)</p>

Pre Trigger date								
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation ?	Firm consensual offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
38.	Sinopec	✓ (G14/3481-1-3481-2)	✗ (G15/3770-1-3770-2)	✗	✗	✗	✗	N/A
39.	TAQA	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"Taqa, we met them on Wednesday with JJ, and feedback is a no due to size " (G17/4339-1-4339-2)

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
1.	Berghoff	✓	✓ (G52/14076)	✗	✓	✗	US\$260m	US\$245m (G53/14171)	N/A
2.	BSG	✓ (G29/7722)	✓ (G47/12580)	✗	✓ (G47/12674)	✓ (Meeting rather than formal presentation – G49/13206)	US\$230m (G51/13842)	Informally offered US\$200m (G51/13683)	Steinmetz needed to agree with the Sultan the increased purchase price to \$250m for a forced sale but could not do so (G52/14144-1)
3.	Mittal	✓ (G46/12165)	✓ (HSF006201- Not yet in TB)	✗	✓ (G48/12796)	✓ (Meeting rather than formal presentation –G49/13151)	US\$242.5m (G52/14084)	✗	Offer not accepted by CEG
4.	Petrovietnam	✓ (G30/7844)	✓ (G15/3732)	US\$295m (G33/8974)	✓ (G34/9112)	✓ (G37/9916)	US\$324m (G41-10955)	✗	Could not obtain SOCAR's consent (G50/13489)
5.	Hecton Investments (Intermediary)	✓ (HB Global - G34/9265)	✗	US\$500m (G34/8991)	✓ (G39/10468)	✗ (Information meeting with Credit Suisse - G45/11855)	✗	✗	Information came to Hecton's attention that: (i) SOCAR was terminating joint venture; (ii) drilling

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									programme not approved; (iii) no confirmation from SOCAR re non-exercise of purchase option; (iv) security for loan has been used on an unsatisfied loan (- G42/11127) <i>"They said the only way to get this deal done is to get the blessing from SOCAR and they are going to get it."</i> (G45/11855)
6.	Perenco	✓ (G32/8494)	✓ (G15/3727)	US\$300m (G33/8916)	✓ (G34/9262)	✓ (G36/9843)	✗	✗	<i>"Perenco had a board meeting yesterday and the transaction was rejected. He said that for technical reasons the asset is not the type they are</i>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>interested in.</i> (G43/11375)
7.	Tata	✓ (G27/7191)	✓ (G16/4257)	US\$300m (G33/8952)	✓ (G34/9173)	✓ (G36/9723 and meeting with RPS - G36/9848)	✗	✗	Could only be in a position to submit a bid by 10 March 2008 at the earliest, required site visit and interaction with SOCAR. (G46/12238) Also asked for exclusivity but told by Akhundov that a field visit could not be arranged before they had an understanding of the price offered. (G47/12684)
8.	Dogan	✓ (G30/8065)	✓ (G32/8671)	US\$325m (G34/9279)	✓	✓ (G37/9910)	✗	✗	<i>"They will not participate because (confidentiality) they still think Socar is not softening their stance"</i> (G45/11953)

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
9.	Vitol / Arawak	✓ (G30/8097)	✓ (G31/8335 and G34/9106)	US\$50-100m	✓ (G35/9365)	✓ (G36/9722)	✗	✗	"Vitol came back with range of \$50 to \$100mm. Have told them that we cannot continue at such levels" (G42/11341)
10.	ONGC	✓ (G33/8775)	✓ (First M&A process)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"Obtaining SOCAR's approval would under any circumstances be required and would be essential before OVL parts with its funds to CEG" (G32/8490) "We have decided not to participate in the ongoing bid process" (G34/9172)
11.	Nations Energy	✓ (G32/8567)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"Nations are not interested" (G33/8858)
12.	Reliance	✓	✓	✗	✓ (G27/7140-1)	✗	✗	✗	"the Reliance technical team agree with the production

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>profile and development plan, but think it would be challenging for them to achieve the rapid ramp-up as projected. They are not in a position to make any kind of bid at this stage"</i> (G27/7214)
13.	Petrofac	✓ (G26/6901-1)	✓ (G28/7442-1)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"We have reviewed the data for Project Casper and have decided that we do not wish to pursue it, at this time." (G30/7914-007) "The size of the asset, and its risk profile, are inconsistent with our strategic objectives." (G30/7923-1-7923-2)

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
14.	PT Energi Mega Persada Tbk: "EMP"	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	"EMP declined (focused on other things right now)."
15.	TPAO	✓ (G31/8347)	✓ (G16/4271)	✗	✓ (G34/9246)	✗	✗	✗	"They understand the situation pretty well (heard the messages from socar etc). They still think it might be worth sitting down with socar and asking them a question what would it take to get the deal done." (G34/9219) "they are not bidding" (G35/9537)
16.	CNPC (China National Petroleum Corporation)/CNODC (a JV between Petrochina and CNPC)	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	"She (contact at CNPC) said "bit small" and I asked twice in 2-3 wks time period and no further followup from her thereafter"

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<p>(G20/5051-1-5051-2).</p> <p>Also contacted by HB Global. (G22/5630-1-5630-2)</p> <p>Also contacted by CEG (G25/6544-1-6544-2)</p>
17.	Addax Petroleum	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	<p><i>"This decision is primarily based on the results of a thorough technical review of the comprehensive data base ... In the undisputed presence of considerable remaining potential in the Kurovdag asset it was concluded that the recognised potential</i></p>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>does not reach the order of magnitude required to meet Addax' internal investment criteria to approve of a new country entry."</i> (G50/13491)
18.	MOL	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	None given.
19.	Avante Petroleum	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	None given.
20.	Delta Hydrocarbons	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	None given.
21.	Lukoil Overseas	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	None given.
22.	Ensearch Petroleum	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	<i>"given the last date of bidding only a week away, it is going to be impossible for us to study the technical data and put together a competitive bid. We feel we will not be able to do justice</i>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>with the bidding unless we have at least 3-4 weeks to do the preliminary techno-commercial analysis of the oil field available for acquisition. Hence we may have to let the opportunity pass" (G33/8856-8857)</i>
23.	Mitsui	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>" Sumitomo Corp., Mitsui, INPEX also indicated the concerns over future opex and capex" (G34/9004-1)</i>
24.	Mitsubishi	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>" Mitsubishi indicated that they were somewhat additionally concerned about the country risk profile"(G45/9004-1)</i>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
25.	Itochu	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"We considered to proceed to next step, but we would like to withdraw from moving next step for this time. However, as you know, we are basically interested in such producing fields information, specially Azerbaijan. We are welcome to receive such information from You". (G30/8012-1-8012-3)</i>
26.	KNOC	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>" They have very preliminary interest in this asset however long holiday in Korea they cannot meet the target 28th Sep" (G33/8912-067-8912-068)</i>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
27.	Sumitomo	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"declined -- size was good but foresee technological difficulty going forward"</i> (G33/8743-1-8743-2)
28.	INPEX Holdings	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"INPEX also indicated the concerns over future opex and capex"</i> (G34/9004-1)
29.	PT Medco	?	×	×	×	×	×	×	It is not clear if PT Medco were approached or not. <i>"Apparently this has already been shopped right around Asia earlier this year and Medco were shown it but declined due to previous experiences in FSU. Good thing I checked before"</i>

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>sending it to the Chairman again...!" (G31/8426-1-8426-2)</i>
30.	Nippon Oil	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	<i>"Nippon Oil indicated that the proposed field, is not in its strategic focus area (Mexico, Vietnam, Australia, North Sea) and reflecting the concerns over future operating expense/capex, makes it difficult for them to further evaluate" (G34/9004-1)</i>
31.	Global Steel	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	None given.
32.	Max Petroleum	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	None given.
33.	Kazakhmys	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	Unable to agree confidentiality agreement

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									(G33/8939-1-8939-6)
34.	Delta Oil	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
35.	EIC	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
36.	Injaz Mena	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
37.	Al raihi	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
38.	Noor	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
39.	M1	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
40.	Kuwait Energy	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
41.	ENOC	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
42.	Qurain Petrochemical Industries Company	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	"this opportunity appears too early stage/upstream" (G31/8197-1)
43.	Alon	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	"Alon is not looking at this area, at all" (G31/8340)
44.	Paz	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	"no interest. Main reason is the country/political risk" (G31/8301)

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
58.	Rompetrol	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	"[they do] <i>not</i> want to proceed...their scepticism won unfortunately" (G32/8674-1-8674-2)
59.	Petrol-Invest	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Not approached (G31/8302)
60.	NIS	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	"The Government has now decided, under pressure, to instruct ML to prepare the privatisation documents. The process will start later this year and, under these circumstances, it is difficult to go ahead with something that would be a sizeable upstream acquisition, when the focus of their capex is the Pancevo

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
									<i>refinery"</i> (G32/8567-1)
61.	INA	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
62.	Penta	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
63.	PPFI	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
64.	Soco International	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
65.	Premier Oil	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"a step out to Azerbaijan at this point would be a step too far given everything else going on at Premier"</i> (G33/8848-1-8848-2)
66.	Tullow	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
67.	Venture Production	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
68.	Melrose Resources	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
69.	Dana Petroleum	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"this is outwith our current area of focus"</i> (G30/7929-7930)

Post Trigger Date									
No.	Bidder	Approached?	Signed Confidentiality Agreement?	Indicative offer?	Data room access?	Management presentation?	Firm consensual offer?	Firm forced sale offer?	Reasons for not pursuing
77.	Urals Energy	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
78.	Sibir	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
79.	ESN	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
80.	Summa Capital	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"they know the asset and have no interest" (G33/8768)</i>
81.	Rosneft	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
82.	GazpromNeft	In dispute	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
83.	Omnimex	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
84.	KOC	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	None given.
85.	General Enerji	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	<i>"as a relatively new company they are looking for greenfield opportunities and not ones that are primarily legacy operations with upside tied to secondary recovery skills which is</i>

