ROYAL COURT

(Samedi)

30th November, 1988

Before: The Deputy Bailiff and Jurats Lucas and Orchard

BETWEEN Mr. B PLAINTIFF

AND Mr. B DEFENDANT

Application by defendant to raise injunctions contained in the Order of Justice dated 22nd August, 1988.

Advocate M.E. Whittaker for the plaintiff.

JUDG MENT

THE DEPUTY BAILIFF: We are not going to protect Mrs. B because we think that her behaviour has shown her to be unworthy of that protection. We are however not going to permit Mr. B to have contact with the three M children of Mrs. B previous marriage because we think it would be wrong that A in particular should in any way be exposed to a conflict in having to or being persuaded to disclose confidences given to her by her mother over the telephone or otherwise and we certainly would not do that without a Childrens' Office report first. What we are

5__

we are going to cancel the ouster injunction so that the defendant can return to the matrimonial home. We are going to cancel the non-molestation injunction as far as both the plaintiff and the child, Candice, are concerned, but the non-molestation injunction remains in full force in respect of the three \mbox{M} children. The defendant will have interim care and control of C , but the injunction restraining him from removing C from the Island and from this jurisidiction will remain. The whole until further order.

n.b: No authorities.