

1 pay

ROYAL COURT
(Samedi Division)

96

23rd May, 1995.

Before: P.R. Le Cras, Esq., Lieutenant Bailiff and
Jurats Orchard and Le Ruez.

Between

Mayo Associates, S.A.
Troy Associates, Ltd.,
TTS International, S.A.

Plaintiffs

And

Maureen Ann Young

Defendant

On 28th April, 1995, the Court found the defendant to be in breach of an injunction,
and adjourned the matter of sentence for contempt of court to 12th May, 1995, and
again until this day.

Advocate J.D. Melia for the Plaintiff.
Advocate D.F. Le Quesne for the Defendant.

JUDGMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: We need not spend time in once again setting out
the facts in this case. There are numerous, clear, and admitted
breaches of an Order of the Court. The unsworn statement signed
5 by Mrs. Young fails to deal with these and the best which can be
said of it is that it provides a less than satisfactory
explanation.

Given the circumstances, as we find them, we impose a fine of
10 £2,500, or in default of payment twenty-five days imprisonment.

[There followed submissions on costs]

THE COMMISSIONER: There is no general rule, but the circumstances of this particular application bring it within those cases, in my view, where an order for indemnity costs is appropriate. And I so order.

No Authorities.