

certain sum to a friend of the said Hugh's, to whom he was alleged executor ; but the same being suspended by Chambers upon certain nullities,—the Lords did not only repon him to his oath, but annulled the decret, because there was no mention how his friend's debt was proven against Goodlette.

*Page 75.*

---

1649. *November 30.* FRANCES HAY *against* GLENKINDIE.

IN the suspension, Frances Hay against Glenkindie,—find the decret obtained against the said Frances to have been done *spreto mandato judicis*, suppose the sheriff was charged, and a new cause alleged to have been intended ; because, once advocated, aye advocated, while the advocacy be discussed, even suppose the reason of consanguinity did cease, the first sheriff being removed. Yet the Lords thought good, that the suspender, upon twenty days' advertisement, should come and answer here in the principal cause, without farther citation.

*Page 75.*

---

1649. *November 30.* HAMILTOUNE *against* KELLO.

THERE was a long dispute betwixt Hamiltoune and Kello, for reduction of a bond and disposition, alleged made *in lecto ægritudinis*, at least not delivered while then, qualified by sundry presumptions of retained possession : inserting the said disposition in the register, with an ante-date : *item*, of subscribing as witness to a contract of marriage, wherein, of his certain knowledge, the wife and the children to be procreated and the disponder's heirs whatsoever were to be infest. Which process and dispute may be seen in the clerk his hands, but nothing yet decerned in by interlocutor.

*Page 76.*

---

1649. *December 1 and 4.* PATRICK CHAMBERS *against* HUGH LUMSDEN.

THE reason of suspension by Patrick Chambers against Hugh Lumsden, who, by the commissary of St Andrews his precept of arrestment, the said Hugh being commissary-clerk himself, had obtained decret to make the arrested goods forthcoming, the commissary having subscribed it for his clerk ; the said reason, I say, founded upon sundry nullities ; but specially, that there was no probation of the debt against the principal debtor, nor warrant of instruction mentioned in this decret charged upon ;—the same was, by the Lords, found relevant, and both commissary and clerk found to have done wrong.

*Page 76.*