1650. January 23 and 24. Whittinghame against Hatelie.

In the suspension, Whittinghame against Hatelie, the reason bearing, that the charger had disponed and assigned the 1000 merks contained in the decreet, was not found relevant, suppose that yearly rent disponed indefinite, not determinating the time from the which the said disposition should begin; because, long before the said disposition, the charger, as executrix-creditrix, had confirmed the household gear, and meddled with the same for payment of that year. And far less did the reason, upon the foresaid meddling or intromitting, elide the second part of the charge, which was for satisfaction to her of her cautionary; since that intromission paid the other sum. So the letters were found orderly proceeded upon the decreet against the tenants of Whittinghame; who alleged, also, that they had paid to a factor: but that was premature, before the time of payment, and after the decease of the constituent; quod non jure factum, quia, mortuo mandatore, expirat mandatum,

Page 178.

1650. January 23 and 24. Lylle against Tailyeour.

In the suspension, Lylle against Tailyeour, suppose for a small sum, the Lords would hear the reduction depending in their own presence; because it was alleged, in the reason, That the said bond was given for redemption of a disposition made foolishly by the said Lylle's brother's son [of] his succession to the said Lylle, who was yet living, quod est contra bonos mores, and inhibited by all law; the which cause is contained in the bond itself.

Page 179.

1650. January 23 and 24. CAIRNECROSS and MENTEITH against LIVINGS-TOUNE.

In the action for mails and duties by Cairnecross and Menteith against Livingstoune, the exception, upon the defender's infeftment, was taken away by a reply founded upon a decreet of reduction, ex capite inhibitionis, before the pursuer did comprise, and was infeft: notwithstanding it was duplied, That the defender had reduction depending of that decreet, reductive; alleging, that the bond whereupon the inhibition had followed was satisfied.

Page 179.

1650. January 23 and 24. The Master of Gray against Hoggishyairde.

In the action for payment, the Master of Gray against Hoggishyairde, the