1665. January 10.

SIR WALTER SEATOUN against ALEXANDER JACK.

In a competition betwixt Sir Walter Seatoun, who was creditor to one Caple, a merchant, for custom and excise, and who had arrested and recovered decreet before the bailies, for making furthcoming, upon the 22d of August 1663: And Alexander Jack, another arrester, and who likewise had recovered decreet, for making furthcoming, upon the 20th August 1663, a day before theo ther.—
The Lords, notwithstanding, preferred Sir Walter Seatoun, in regard he had arrested first, albeit his decreet was a day after; and that he had a privilege, in respect of the nature of the debt.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 60. Newbyth, MS. p. 17.

No 154. A first arressment preferred, though the decree of furthcoming was a day after another. The first was reckoned a privileged debt, being for custom and excise.

1666. February 1.

CUNNINGHAM and LYLE against WALLACE.

James Mason being debtor to Colonel Cunningham in a fum of money, and being likewise debtor to Arthur Lyle, both of them arrest in James Wallace's hand, certain sums, wherein the said Wallace was debtor to Mason. Colonel Cunningham alleged, He ought to be preferred, because his arrestment was made upon the 29th November, and Arthur Lyle, his arrestment, upon the 30th.—To which it was answered by Arthur Lyle, That he ought to come in pari passu with the Colonel, because, albeit the Colonel's diligence was a day before his, yet their decreets were pronounced upon one and the same day.—The Lords preferred Colonel Cunningham, being the first arrester, albeit but a day before Lyle's arrestment, and that both decreets were on the same day.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 60. Newbyth, MS. p. 54.

No 155. The first arrestment was preferred; the decrees of furthcoming being both on one day.

1667. November 23.

SIR ROBERT MONTGOMERY against ALEXANDER RANKIN.

Fire Robert Montgomery having obtained decreet against Antonia Brown, as representing Sir John Brown, her father, for 2000 merks, arrests the price of a chain due to Antonia, in the hands of the Lord Melvil, and pursues to make furthcoming; compears Alexander Rankein, and produces a decreet obtained against Antonia, and thereupon an arrestment by the Sheriff of Fise's precept, and a decreet of the Sheriff thereupon, in July last, the arrestment being in the same month, and craves preference, because he had the first complete diligence.—It was answered, That Sir Robert having first arrested in March last, and first intented process thereupon before the Lords, and having insisted therein the last Session, was kept off by the compearance of the Lady Cullerny, who also pretended right to the chain, and had failed in no diligence, and therefore ought to

No 156. A first arrester preferred, though a fecond had first obtained decree of furthcoming. The second had taken his decree before the sheriff; the first was proceeding before the Court of Seffion, where process is more tedious.

Vol. II.

5 K