

1681. *December 8.* CATHARINE CHARTERIS, ROBERT YOUNG, ALEXANDER ANDERSON, &c. *against* JOHN MUIRE, Stabler.

UPON a bill given in by Catharine Charteris, Robert Young, Alexander Anderson, and Others, against John Muire, stabler in Edinburgh; the Lords ordained the certification for not production, extracted by him in his reduction and improbation against them, to be brought back and cancelled, because it was taken out against them in absence, they being ignorant persons; they always instantly satisfying the production now, by producing in the clerk's hands the writs called for, and also paying in the sum of _____ for the pursuer's expense in extracting the said certification.

The ground of reponing them was, That it was stolen out the beginning of November last, when their advocates were deliberating anent the taking the oath of the test, and that their production evidently excluded his active title. *Vide 29th March 1682, Hog.* *Vol. I. Page 165.*

1681. *December 15.* WILLIAM GILCHRIST *against* JAMES GILCHRIST.

THE case, William Gilchrist against James Gilchrist, being reported by Forret, the Lords repelled James's grounds of compensation *hoc loco*, as not liquidated nor fully instructed, though he was in a process for doing it.

Vol. I. Page 167.

1681. *December 17.* The CHIRURGEONS of EDINBURGH *against* JOHN CARMICHAEL.

THE Lords, on Forret's report, set John Carmichael, chirurgion, at liberty, who had been imprisoned by the deacon of his calling for his divisive carriage in a meeting of the corporation, and past his bill of suspension against their fine.

Vol. I. Page 167.

1681. *December 21.* The MARQUIS of HUNTLEY *against* GORDON of LESMOIR.

THE case betwixt the Marquis of Huntley and Gordon of Lesmoir, anent a submission, was decided in favours of Lesmoir.

Vol. I. Page 167.