

KING.

1753. *November 16.*

JAMES MURRAY *against* The CREDITORS of JOHN BURNET.

No. 1.

JAMES MURRAY, Receiver-General, adjudged Burnet's lands for a revenue debt, and Burnet's other creditors adjudged within year and day of him; and in the ranking, Murray, or his Majesty's Advocate, craved to be preferred on the act 6th Anne, establishing the Court of Exchequer, and act 33, Henry VIII. of England, and insisted that he could have brought his adjudication in the Court of Exchequer; and that wherever it was recovered, that the Crown is entitled to the same preference upon our land estates, as he has upon goods and chattels by a writ of extent; but the Court unanimously found, that the Crown had no other preference than in terms of the act 1672, that is in this case *pari passu*; but the question is still *sub judice* by reclaiming bill and answers.

See NOTES.

• KING'S ADVOCATE.

1735. *July 25.*

KING'S ADVOCATE and EARL of BREADALBANE, *against* MENZIES of Culdares.

No. 1.

KING'S ADVOCATE needs no sign-manual for ascertaining the marches betwixt the King's forest and the neighbouring heritors. (See DICT. No. 15. p. 7905.)

See NOTES.