

2do, That ratifications in Parliament were sufficient to sustain regalities, though created after these acts. But the Bar did not insist for our judgment with respect to ratifications of Sheriffships, because they were all safe by prescription. No. 43.

---

1748. *January 21.*

EARL of MORTON'S CLAIM of JUSTICIARY of ORKNEY.

No. 44.

FOUND that the Justiciary of Orkney is subordinate to the High Court of Justiciary; but afterwards in valuing it, we put the same value on it as we did on regalities, where the Lord was also heritable Sheriff of the same lands.

---

1748. *January 26.* LORDS of REGALITY and BISHOPS, *Claimants.*

No. 45.

FOUND that Lords of Regality, and even Bishops who were such, might create heritable Bailies of Regality.

---

*Eodem die.*

EARL of EGLINTON'S CLAIM of SHERIFFSHIP of RENFREW and REGALITY of PAISLEY.

No. 46.

EARL of Eglinton's claim of Sheriffship of Renfrew and Regality of Paisley: These were by the investitures redeemable by the Crown for L.5000 sterling; but we found, that notwithstanding thereof, if the true value should appear to be less, he could on this act claim no more than the true value. However, when we came to value, the value of it amounted to that sum.

---

1748. *March 1.*

THE CASES of URQUHART and PATRICK HEBURN, as Adjudgers from Sir JOHN GORDON, Deputy-Sheriff of Parts of the Shire of Cromarty.

No. 47.

THE Lords found, that heritable Sheriffs could create heritable Deputy-Sheriffs over different parcels of the shire, and sustained the claims of these Deputies, *renitent*. Minto, Monzie, Tinwald, *et me*, (but I was in the chair, and it came not to my vote.)