

## MANSE.

---

1734. \_\_\_\_\_.

MR HARRY MAUL *against* The CHILDREN of MR CHARTERS.

THE effect of declaring a manse free. *Vide* the Printed Papers (and the NOTES.) No. 1.

---

1748. *June 29.*

KING'S COLLEGE of ABERDEEN *against* The HERITORS of OLD MACHER.

THE Lords thought, that the manses of Deans and other dignified Ministers were not included in the act 1663; but the Minister of Old Macher or Old Aberdeen having now become a stipendiary Minister;—found his heritors bound to repair his manse; but found that they might either repair the old manse or build a new one, and take the materials of the old to themselves, the new manse to be worth L.1000 Scots. No. 2.

---

1748. *July 13.* SIR WILLIAM MAXWELL *against* GAVIN PARK.

HERITORS whose lands are united to the parish *quoad sacra tantum*, are not liable to repair the manse. No. 3.

---

1750. *June 19.*

THOMSON, MINISTER of DUNFERMLINE, *against* The HERITORS.

MINISTERS of Royal Burghs found not entitled to have manses designed to them on the act 1663, even though a part of the parish was land-wart. No. 4.

See NOTES.