

1748. *March.* MOIR *against* MOIR.

No. 29.

IN March 1748, when we were sitting on the jurisdictions, I reported a bill of inhibition by Mr Moir of Leckie against his wife, niece of Sir Walter Montgomery of Kirktonholm, and objection against it, but the inhibition was on the same ground as in No. 27. passed. *Vide* No. 35.

---

1748. *June 7.*

COUNTESS of WIGTON *against* LADY CLEMENTINA ELPHINSTON.

No. 30.

FOUND that dressing plate falls not under a Lady's *paraphernalia*. See No. 23.

---

1749. *January 10.*

COLLEGE of ABERDEEN *against* TRUSTEES of the WIDOWS SCHEME.

No. 31.

THE King's College of Aberdeen found entitled to the Widows Scheme and liable to it, though the Professors were divided four to four, and the Principal took two votes; 1st, because it was so judged by the General Assembly, and their judgment was thought final; and, 2dly, that in case of equality the Principal had two votes.

---

1749. *June 10.*

A. *against* B.

No. 32.

WE refused to pass a bill of lawburrows at a wife's instance against her husband otherwise than *causa cognita*, and therefore ordered the husband to be served with a copy of the bill. *Referente* Justice Clerk.

---

1749. *November 24.* MRS TOD *against* EARL of SUTHERLAND.

No. 33.

THE Countess of Sutherland in August 1742 accepted a bill to a milliner for L48. as the balance of her account, payable at Candlemas 1743, and got her account discharged. Repeated demands were made for the money, and the Countess's letters promising payment produced; and now after the