

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

PA/11220/2019

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at George House, Edinburgh

On 10 December 2021

Decision & Reasons
Promulgated
On 20 December 2021

Before

Mr C M G OCKELTON, VICE PRESIDENT, & UT JUDGE MACLEMAN

Between

SUTHAKAR RAJANAYAGAM

Appellant

and

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

Respondent

For the Appellant: Mr Fyffe, of McGlashan MacKay, Solicitors

For the Respondent: Mr Diwyncz, Senior Home Office Presenting Officer

DETERMINATION AND REASONS

- 1. FtT Judge McLaren dismissed the appellant's appeal by a decision promulgated on 16 August 2021.
- 2. The appellant sought permission to appeal to the UT. The first and leading ground is that although the judge stated the standard of proof correctly at [16], she repeatedly assessed matters by other standards such as "more likely", "some doubts", and "more than likely", in such a way that the correct standard of proof was not applied.
- 3. FtT Judge Adio granted permission on 5 October 2021, on the view that cumulatively the Judge's expressions gave the impression of applying a higher standard of proof.
- 4. In a rule 24 response to the grant of permission the SSHD concedes that the language used in the decision "is indicative of applying the civil

Appeal Number: PA/11220/2019

standard of balance of probability rather than the lower standard of reasonable degree of likelihood", and says that the case should be remitted to the FtT.

- 5. That concession was correctly made. It is usual for particular matters in a case to be established to degrees varying from near certainty to faint possibility, and it is not an error to say so; but it must be clear that the correct overall standard was applied to issues essential to the outcome. The decision, unfortunately, leaves that in considerable doubt.
- 6. The outcome is as agreed. The decision of the FtT is set aside, and the case is remitted for a fresh hearing, not before Judge McLaren.
- 7. No anonymity direction has been requested or made.

10 December 2021 UT Judge Macleman

Hud Macleman

NOTIFICATION OF APPEAL RIGHTS

- 1. A person seeking permission to appeal against this decision must make a written application to the Upper Tribunal. Any such application must be **received** by the Upper Tribunal within the **appropriate period** after this decision was **sent** to the person making the application. The appropriate period varies, as follows, according to the location of the individual and the way in which the Upper Tribunal's decision was sent:
- 2. Where the person who appealed to the First-tier Tribunal is **in the United Kingdom** at the time that the application for permission to appeal is made, and is not in detention under the Immigration Acts, the appropriate period is **12 working days** (**10 working days**, **if the notice of decision is sent electronically).**
- 3. Where the person making the application is <u>in detention</u> under the Immigration Acts, **the appropriate** period is 7 working days (5 working days, if the notice of decision is sent electronically).
- 4. Where the person who appealed to the First-tier Tribunal is **outside the United Kingdom** at the time that the application for permission to appeal is made, the appropriate period is **38 days** (10 working days, if the notice of decision is sent electronically).
- 5. A "working day" means any day except a Saturday or a Sunday, Christmas Day, Good Friday or a bank holiday.
- 6. The date when the decision is "sent' is that appearing on the covering letter or covering email.