



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr P Hudson

Respondent: Accord Housing Association

JUDGMENT -REASONS

REASONS

1. This hearing was listed by me to ensure the issues had been clarified as per my order of 23 August 2019.
2. Following a lengthy discussion the claimant accepted that has not been done in relation to certain critical aspects (although I should record it is not disputed that he had attempted to do so).
3. I explained to him what was required. That having been done he told me that he did not feel able to do what was required either today or within any further period that I should allow for that to be done and intimated withdrawing the claim.
4. I explained to him that his answers indicated that he was able to do provide the necessary clarification but also explained to him what withdrawal meant and asked him to at least reflect on that overnight before he did so.
5. By an email of 09:23 am on 10 January 2020 hhe has repeated the desire to withdraw his claim and refers to that being on the basis he has been given an assurance from the respondent that costs would not be sought if he did so.
6. Those matters being so and having explained the effect of withdrawal to him yesterday, I am satisfied that neither rule 52(a) or (b) apply and so the claim should be dismissed on withdrawal.

Employment Judge **Perry**

16.01.2020