



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Agim Berisha

Respondent: South West Aesthetics Limited

Judge: EJ England

JUDGMENT

The Tribunal notes that video log-in details were mistakenly sent to the parties for a hearing on 15 September 2023, presumably due to a confusion with the hearing listed for 15 November 2023. No one attended the hearing on 15 September 2023 but upon reviewing the file the Tribunal noted the terms of the Case Management Order dated 03 August 2023 and the Respondent's application sent by email of 07 September 2023 and issues the following judgment:

1. The claim for Public Interest Disclosure (PID) detriment is struck out. The Claimant has not complied with the requirement set out in the Case Management Order of 03 August 2023 to provide information regarding his PID claim by not sending anything in relation to that claim. It is appropriate to strike out therefore because of a failure to comply with a Tribunal Order and because the claim has no reasonable prospect of success (Employment Tribunals (Constitution & Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013, schedule 1, para. 37(1)(a) and para. 37(1)(c)).
2. The hearing on 15 November 2023 remains and the parties should attend. Paragraph 5 of the notice of hearing dated 23 August 2023 is amended to remove the requirement that "Claimant needs to explain on what basis he has a claim for PID" because there is now no such claim.

Signed (electronically):

Employment Judge England

Date **15/09/23**

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

Case No: 2300062/2023 and 2301064/2023
Date **25/09/23**

.....
.....
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Notes

Written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.