



FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL GENERAL REGULATORY CHAMBER PROFESSIONAL REGULATION

Tribunal Reference: PR/2016/0011
Appellant: Efeito Limited
Respondent: Norwich City Council

DECISION

1. I am treating Mr Wallace's email of 10 October 2016 as a request under rule 4 of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (General Regulatory Chamber) Rules 2009 for a judge to reconsider the Registrar's decision of 5 October 2016 not to vacate the hearing set for 28 October 2016.
2. I am not satisfied that the matters raised constitute a valid reason to adjourn the appeal to some unspecified date, so as to enable Mr Wallace to obtain evidence about allegations said to be made about the appellant and RGW Portugal Limited. I entirely agree with what the Registrar says at paragraph 12 of her case management note. It is not the purpose of the appeal to make any findings on matters other than those set out at paragraph 12.
3. It follows that I do not find any merit in the assertion that the appellant needs time to instruct solicitors to amass evidence in rebuttal of any such allegations. The appellant chose to appeal and requested a hearing. It has therefore had ample time to instruct a solicitor to deal with the relevant issues in this appeal.
4. The Registrar's decision stands. The hearing on 28 October is not vacated.

Judge Peter Lane
Chamber President
12 October 2016