



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL
PROPERTY CHAMBER
(RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)**

Case Reference : LON/00BK/LVM/2015/0020

Property : Church House, 204-206 Great
Portland Street & 73 – 74 Bolsover
Street, W1v 5NP.

Applicant : 204 GP Street (Jersey) Limited

Representative : Brecher, Solicitors.

Respondent : Mr. B. Maunder Taylor and Mr. M.
Maunder Taylor.

Representative : In Person

Interested person : Mount Eden Land Limited

Type of Application : Application to discharge a tribunal
appointed manager, under S.24(9)
of the Landlord & Tenant Act 1987.

Tribunal Members : Ms. A. Hamilton-Farey

Date of Decision : 7 January 2016.

**DECISION IN RELATION TO S.24(9) LANDLORD AND TENANT
ACT 1987.**

Decision:

- a. The tribunal discharges the managers, Mr. Bruce Maunder Taylor and Mr. Michael Maunder Taylor from the Management Order made on 30 July 2013 for a period of five years.

Background:

1. The tribunal received an application on the part of the landlord and leaseholders for the discharge of the tribunal appointed manager in relation to the subject premises. The reason given by the applicants is that the head lease and all of the six subleases in the building have been acquired by the applicants 204 GP Street (Jersey) Limited.
2. The tribunal issued directions on 2 December 2016 that required amongst other things that the interested person apply to join the application if they wished; and that a bundle be compiled that included Official Copy Entries of the head-leaseholder and sub-leasehold titles; a copy of the respondent's consent to the discharge, and any other documents on which the applicants wished to rely.
3. The interested party did not apply to the tribunal to join the proceedings, and neither of the parties requested an oral hearing. The tribunal therefore proceeded to determine this matter in line with the directions.
4. The bundle also contained a signed copy of a letter from the managers, Messrs. Maunder Taylor in which they said that, in their opinion, the problems that had led to the making of the Management Order on 30 July 2013 had been resolved, and that there seemed to be no particular reason why the Management Order should not be discharged. The Managers also confirmed that they were co-operating with the applicants and that they were happy with the application.
5. It appears to this tribunal that, the reasons for the making of the Management Order no longer persist and with the acquisition of the head-lease and sub-leases by the same company there is no need for the Management Order to remain in force.
6. Accordingly the tribunal discharges the Management Order dated 30 July 2013 and releases the Managers from their obligations under that Order.

Name: A. Hamilton-Farey

Date: 7 January 2016.