Privy Council Appeal No. 12 of 1912.

Chidambaram Chettiar - - - Appellant,

v.

Srinivasa Sastrial and others - - Respondents.

Same - - - - - Appellant,

v.

(Consolidated Appeals)

FROM

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS.

JUDGMENT OF THE LORDS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE PRIVY COUNCIL DELIVERED THE 4TH MARCH 1914.

Present at the Hearing:

LORD MOULTON.

SIR JOHN EDGE.

Respondents.

LORD SUMNER.

Sami Iyer and others

Mr. Ameer Ali.

Delivered by LORD MOULTON.

Their Lordships are of opinion that the two decisions appealed against are correct; that the High Court acted rightly in setting aside the two assignments, the one to Annamalia and the other to Chidambaram, and that no valid proceedings can therefore be based on either of those assignments. The question whether any of the parties can establish rights based, not on the assignments, but on other grounds, such as the actual payment of debts, is a point which was, in their Lordships' opinion, not before the Courts below, and is not before their Lordships, [13] J. 310. 125.—3/1914. E. & S.

and on that point therefore they pronounce no opinion.

Their Lordships will, therefore, humbly advise His Majesty that these appeals should be dismissed.



CHIDAMBARAM CHETTIAR

 \dot{c}

SRINIVASA SASTRIAL AND OTHERS.

SAME

3

SAMI IYER AND OTHERS.

 $(Consolidated\ Appeals.)$

DELIVERED BY LORD MOULTON.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE, LTD., PRINTERS TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.